

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/869,205	09/17/2001	David Sadek	136.160	9799
	7590 03/07/200 THUENTE,SKAR & 0	EXAMINER		
4800 IDS CEN	TER	HOLMES, MICHAEL B		
80 SOUTH 8TI MINNEAPOLI	H STREET IS, MN 55402-2100	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	. -,	2121		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS 03/07/2007			PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Applicat	tion No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/869,	205	SADEK ET AL.				
		Examine	er	Art Unit	T			
			B. Holmes	2121				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicator Reply	tion appears on ti	he cover sheet wi	th the correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL asions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF T 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no e cation. by period will apply and by statute, cause the ap	HIS COMMUNIC event, however, may a n will expire SIX (6) MON oplication to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this of the part o	. , .			
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on <i>06 December</i>	2006.					
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims	·						
4)🖂	. 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)⊠	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>34 and 35</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) 26 is/are rejected.							
7)🛛	Claim(s) <u>27-33</u> is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or election	requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)□	The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
•	Applicant may not request that any objection		•	-				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	ınder 35 Ú.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of:		·	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
	nee the attached detailed office action to	or a list of the cer	uneu copies not	eceivea.				
Attachment	(s)							
1) 🛛 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-	948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date formal Patent Application				
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		6) Other:	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				

Application/Control Number: 09/869,205

Art Unit: 2121



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 - www.uspto.gov

Examiner's Detailed Office Action

- 1. This Office Action is responsive to application 09/869,205, filed September 17, 2001.
- 2. Claims 26-34 have been examined.
- 3. Claims 1-25 have been canceled.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 5. The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Art Unit: 2121

6. Claim 26 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hodjat et al. (USPN 6,144,989).

Regarding claim 26. Hodjat et al. disclose a method of dialogue between a user and a software agent (user-input request, col. 4, lines 47 - 51, Input agents, col. 11, lines 6 -14) operating on a server (networked devices are considered to have a local server, col. 9, lines 55 63), the software agent including a rational unit (Feedback Agents, col. 11, lines 21 - 33), said method comprising the steps of:

receiving, by the software agent (Input agents, col. 11, lines 6 -14), statements in natural language originating from the user (col. 11, lines 10 - 14);

interpreting the statements in a logical statement that acts as input to the rational unit (KQML, col. 13, lines 61 -67 to col. 14, lines 1-14);

determining the existence of at least one behavioral principle (col. 15, lines 43 46 the behavioral principle is interpreted as the intent of the user since no definition has been provided in the specification) applying to the logical statement among a set of predetermined principles (Interpretation policy, col. 14, lines 40 - 42), deducing one or more logical consequences (determining where to send messages is a logical consequence of the users input, col. 14, lines 33 - 42) as a function of the at least one behavioral principle (user input); determining communication actions to be made corresponding to the deduced logical consequences(routing the messages to the appropriate agent, col. 14, lines 48 50), the communication actions being output from the rational unit (Feedback agent 422); transcribing the communication actions in a statement in natural language (KQML); and

Art Unit: 2121

sending the transcribed statement to the user (Col. 16, lines 58 - 62), wherein the logical statement at input (414) to the rational unit (422) and the communication actions at output of the rational unit (422) are formalized in a communication language utilized as a communication language between software agents (the language used between the agents is a common language KQML).

Claim Objection(s)

7. Claims 27-33 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Correspondence Information

8. Any inquires concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael B. Holmes, who may be reached Monday through Friday, between 8:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. EST. or via telephone at (571) 272-3686 or facsimile transmission (571) 273-3686 or email michael.holmesb@uspto.gov.

If you need to send an Official facsimile transmission, please send it to (571) 273-8300.

If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful the Examiner's Supervisor, Anthony

Knight, may be reached at (571) 272-3687.

Hand-delivered responses should be delivered to the Receptionist @ (Customer Service Window Randolph Building 401 Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 22313), located on the first floor of the south side of the Randolph Building.

Application/Control Number: 09/869,205

Art Unit: 2121

Page 5

Finally, information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Moreover, status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have any questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) toll-free @ 1-866-217-9197.

Michael B. Holmes

Patent Examiner
Artificial Intelligence
Art Unit 2121
United States Department of Commerce

Patent & Trademark Office